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I. **Statement of Policy Concerning the Role, Functions, and Qualifications of the Department Chairperson**

A Department Chairperson is in the unique position of functioning simultaneously as a scholar, teacher, administrator, and should have the best talents of each. To the extent that the Department Chairperson’s function is limited in any one of these areas, the overall effectiveness of the department will be limited. For the purposes of this document, whenever departments are a part of a Division, the Division Director is the normal channel of authority between the Dean and the Department Chairperson.

As a scholar, the Department Chairperson will set high standards of academic and professional excellence for the members of the department, stimulating and recognizing achievements in this direction.

As a teacher, the Department Chairperson will be devoted to the academic and professional growth of students, promoting in the members of the department the highest ideals of concern, commitment, and respect for students and their educational experiences.

As an administrator, the Department Chairperson must not only facilitate the educational interaction of student and faculty in the department, but also must conduct the department as an integral part of a larger academic community, representing the department to the administration and the administration to the department. The ability of Department Chairperson to mediate departmental with University interests will, to a large degree, determine the success of both groups.

The Department Chairpersonship cannot be regarded as a reward for either seniority or personal popularity, nor should it be regarded as a personal possession of the office-holder. It is a position of trust and responsibility that is held only as long as these qualities are fulfilled. Its inherent complexities and the opportunities it offers for service to the academic community require nothing less than outstanding qualities of leadership and the best talents that may be found in the department or recruited from outside of the

---

1 The Faculty Senate of Southern Connecticut State University in agreement with the President of the University establishes these procedures to govern the Department Chairperson selection process at Southern Connecticut State University. These procedures are intended to be consistent with the current Collective Bargaining Agreement between the Connecticut State University American Association of University Professors and the Board of Regents for The Connecticut State University.
University. Nothing less than continuing support and confidence must be shown by the members of the department and all segments of the academic community. The necessity for this continuing support must be reflected in the evaluation, appointment, and termination procedures regarding this position.

II. The Selection of the Department Chairperson

A. In this Document, selection refers to a process which includes input of the faculty of the department, the appropriate Dean, and the President. Each has an important role and responsibility. Each party may carry out its part of the total process in a different manner. The shared power in this Document also implies that there is shared responsibility for results.

B. Departments of the University

The faculty for each designated academic department shall function under the leadership of a Department Chairperson. Departments shall be established by the University Administration with the advice of the University Faculty Senate, according to criteria of commonality of interest and academic purpose, without any numerical limits on size.

C. Department Membership

All tenured and tenure-track members of the department, including those on authorized leave, shall be eligible to participate in the selection of the Department Chairperson. Tenured and tenure-track faculty that join a department after the preferential vote has taken place are not eligible to participate in the selection of the Department Chairperson.

D. The Department Personnel Committee

1. Each department with 10 or more full-time members shall elect, by secret written ballot, a Departmental Personnel Committee to act on behalf of the department members in coordinating, as described in this document, the processes of appointing, reappointing, and recalling a Department Chairperson.

Those departments with fewer than 10 full-time members may act as their own committee-of-the-whole, with the exclusion of the Department Chairperson.

---

2 A department member on leave is eligible to participate in all stages of the Department Chairperson selection. His/her name shall appear on the preferential ballot. At the same time as a notice of a relevant meeting or a ballot on any issue or person involved in the process is sent to each department member, the same notice or ballot shall be emailed or mailed to the home address of the department member on leave.

3 Any mention of Full-time members in this document includes special appointments.
and any who do not qualify in accordance with #4 below, or may elect their own Department Personnel Committee.

2. The size of the Department Personnel Committee shall be determined by the department and specified in the department By-Laws.

3. Department Personnel Committee members shall have a term of office of 3 years. A plan of rotation shall be instituted with approximately one-third of the committee members having remaining terms of 1, 2, and 3 years, respectively.

4. Department Personnel Committee members must have a minimum rank of instructor or the equivalent, be tenured or on tenure-track, and have had a minimum of two completed consecutive academic semesters of full-time service in the department before being able to serve on the Department Personnel Committee. Full-time service includes special appointments.

5. Any person whose name is forwarded to the Dean as a potential candidate for the Department Chairpersonship, who is a member of the Department Personnel Committee, shall immediately resign from the Committee. Departments with an elected Department Personnel Committee may fill the position according to II. D. 1.

6. Present Department Chairpersons and full-time department members with the rank of instructor or above who have not had a minimum of two consecutive academic semesters of full-time service in the department are eligible to vote for, but are ineligible for election to, the Department Personnel Committee.

7. The Department Personnel Committee shall elect the committee’s chairperson from among its own members.

8. Vacancies during a term of office on the Department Personnel Committee shall be filled immediately according to II. D. 1. with the person elected serving out the unexpired term.

9. Department Personnel Committee members can be recalled by a vote of a majority of the full-time members of the department on a secret written ballot. Upon recall, step 8 above shall be initiated.

10. Department Personnel Committees are responsible for the implementation of the selection process, as specified in this document.

Department Personnel Committees should refer questions about the document to the Executive Committee of the Faculty Senate and if necessary the University President.
The Executive Committee of the Faculty Senate, and if necessary the President of the University, shall serve as a body to hear questions from individuals, departments, or deans regarding the Department Chairperson selection process. The Executive Committee of the Faculty Senate and the University President shall determine whether "Section IV on Interpretation and Implementation" is applicable to the questions. The Executive Committee of the Faculty Senate and the University President are the only parties authorized to decide questions of interpretation. If Section IV is not applicable, then the department itself shall resolve the questions.

E. Term of Office of Department Chairperson

1. The term of office of a Department Chairperson selected according to this document shall be three years. The term shall begin with the start of the academic year following the selection process.

2. If a vacancy occurs during a term of office, a newly elected Department Chairperson shall serve an interim term consisting of the remainder of the academic year in which the vacancy occurs and a term of the next three academic years. If the vacancy occurs suddenly, selection procedures in step G. and following shall be expedited with final selection taking place within thirty calendar days from the date of the vacancy. If necessary during the interim the University President in consultation with the appropriate Dean may appoint a member in an acting capacity to fill the vacancy.

3. A temporary vacancy is any vacancy of one year or less. In accordance with Article 12 of the Collective Bargaining Agreement, a temporary vacancy may occur for the following reasons: sick leave, maternity leave, child-rearing leave, sabbatical leave, educational or professional leave, unpaid leave, military leave, and jury leave.

   a. After consultation with the Department Chairperson, if possible, and the appropriate Dean, the department will determine if a temporary vacancy exists or will exist and the approximate length of the temporary vacancy.

   b. The department will elect one of its members by a simple majority to fill the temporary vacancy. The mechanics of the election procedures are left to a majority vote of each department. The procedure may or may not include a preferential poll.

   c. When a department cannot elect one of its members because of a lack of a majority, then the University President in consultation with the appropriate Dean will appoint a department member to fill the temporary vacancy for the period of time the temporary vacancy exists.

---

4 As determined by the majority of the Executive Committee of the Faculty Senate when there will be a significant change in the procedures used in selecting Department Chairpersons.
F. Notification of Vacancy of the Department Chairperson

During the fall semester of the last year of a Department Chairperson's term of office, the President of the University shall notify the Department Personnel Committee of an impending vacancy in the Department Chairpersonship. If a vacancy arises during a term of office, the President shall notify the Department Personnel Committee as soon as possible. This notification shall constitute the administrative signal for the start of the selection process.

G. Recommendation of the Department

1. (a) Upon receiving notice from the University President of an impending or actual vacancy in the Department Chairpersonship, the Department Personnel Committee shall provide opportunity for discussion of the Department Chairpersonship with the department and with the appropriate Dean before the preferential poll is distributed. If issues arise other than those involving interpretation or implementation, they shall be resolved by the department prior to the voting process, and the department shall be bound by those decisions. Following resolution of issues, the Department Personnel Committee shall conduct a preferential poll of all tenured and tenure-track members of the department (including those with less than two semesters in the department) by secret written ballot on or before February 1st. The committee shall distribute a ballot on which is printed the following: (1) the names, in alphabetical order, of all tenured and tenure-track members of the department; and (2) the statement "search outside the department". The fact that one's name appears on the ballot should not be interpreted as a declaration of candidacy. Each department member shall then mark up to 3 choices, in order of preference. The Department Personnel Committee will communicate the results of the preferential poll to department members and the Dean within seven calendar days. If a member of the Department Personnel Committee emerges as one of the preferred choices of the department, he/she must either resign from the committee or decline candidacy for the Chairpersonship.

(b) The Department Personnel Committee shall then ask each department member who received at least one vote to accept or decline nomination.

(c) If, following step 1 (a) the preferred choices are all persons within the department, the Department Personnel Committee shall proceed directly with step 2.

(d) If, following step 1 (a), a search for candidates outside of the department was one of the three preferred choices on at least half of the ballots, the Department Personnel Committee shall consult with the appropriate Dean
to determine both whether the overall vote merits consideration of looking outside the department and whether budgetary considerations will permit a searching outside of the department, either within or outside of the University. If the Dean approves a search within the University, the Dean shall place a call for self-nominations from the University tenured and tenure-track faculty within fourteen calendar days of meeting with the Department Personnel Committee. Interested candidates shall discuss with their Department Chairperson and the appropriate Dean whether their credit load assignment will permit this course of action. Credit load assignment permitting, interested candidates shall forward their acceptance of candidacy for Department Chairperson to the appropriate Department Personnel Committee and the appropriate Dean within fourteen calendar days of receiving the self-nomination notice. No faculty member may accept candidacy for Department Chairperson in more than one department in the same year. If the Dean in consultation with the University President approves a search for a Department Chairperson outside of the University as a possibility by March 15th then “outside of the University search” shall be listed as a choice in the ballot(s) in step G.

3. Any individual(s) chosen as a result of the preferential vote must indicate in writing to the Department Personnel Committee his/her acceptance of candidacy for the Department Chairperson within fourteen calendar days of receiving the results of the preferential poll.

3. The Department Personnel Committee shall conduct a second vote by secret written ballot on or before March 15th. The ballot shall include the names of those members who have indicated acceptance of candidacy for department chair (and “outside the University search” if appropriate). Each tenured or tenure-track member of the department is allowed one vote for a top choice for Department Chairperson. If a majority vote is not received on this ballot, a run-off vote will be conducted between the top two vote recipients within fourteen calendar days from the previous vote. The results of each vote will be presented to the department and to the appropriate Dean in writing within seven calendar days from each vote.

4. The Department Personnel Committee shall keep the department members informed about the progress of the selection process.

H. Department Recommendation(s) Presented to the Appropriate Dean

The Department Personnel Committee shall inform the appropriate Dean or the Division Director in writing of the 1 to 3 names recommended by the department for the position of Department Chairperson and their order of preference.
I. Recommendations of the Appropriate Dean

1. The appropriate Dean may elect to seek further sources of evaluation and recommendations, e.g., individual department members, students, administration members, and others outside of the department or University. Where Divisions exist, the Division Director shall submit to the appropriate Dean recommendations for the Department Chairperson.

2. The appropriate Dean shall meet with the Department Personnel Committee within twenty-one days of the receipt of the recommendation(s) made by the department to discuss his/her approval or disapproval.\(^5\)

J. Approval by the Appropriate Dean of a Departmental Recommendation

1. If the appropriate Dean approves the top choice recommended by the department, the name of this person shall be submitted to the department for a confirming majority vote of approval on a secret written ballot by the tenured and tenure-track members of the department.

2. (a) In the event there is a majority vote of approval by the department for the name submitted, this name shall then be presented to the President of the University for appointment to the Department Chairpersonship.

(b) In the event the name submitted to the department does not receive a majority vote of approval, the Personnel Committee shall ask the appropriate Dean to reconsider other names on the list of those originally recommended to him/her, or if there were none, they shall begin the selection process once again at step G. Departmental voting procedures shall then follow approval by the appropriate Dean of any other individual. If an impasse exists, see step K below.

(c) In the event the top candidate whose name was submitted to the Dean becomes unavailable, the process shall restart at Step G. 1.

K. Disapproval by the Appropriate Dean of Departmental Recommendation(s)

1. If the Dean's choice differs from the top choice of the department, then the Dean must indicate, in writing, to the department his/her reasons for not agreeing with the department's preference. The Dean may also make his/her own recommendation(s) for department chairpersonship.

2. If the Dean disapproves the departmental recommendation and/or substitute’s his/her own recommendation the process shall restart at Step G. 1. The

\(^5\) In departments that are part of Divisions, the Division Director shall meet jointly with the Dean and the Department Personnel Committee.
department’s choice may be the same as the original choice, or may differ. Steps H, I, and J, shall then follow; or, if the Dean again disapproves the departmental recommendation, an impasse shall be declared, and step L shall follow.

L. Impasse Procedures

In the event an impasse arises between the recommendation of the department and those of the appropriate Dean and further discussion between them appears counter-productive, the following steps shall be taken:

1. A three-person committee shall arbitrate the impasse. This committee shall be composed of one person elected by secret written ballot by the department, one person other than the Dean appointed by the University President, and one other person selected by the first two members. The selection of the person elected by the department shall be done by a simple majority. The mechanics of the election procedures are left to a majority vote of each department. The procedure may or may not include a preferential poll. If a majority vote is not received on the run-off vote, the University President will be the deciding vote. All members of the impasse committee shall be tenured or tenured-track members of the department in question that are not interested in the Department Chairperson’s position. Tenured or tenure-track faculty from other departments may serve on the impasse committee only if there aren’t enough eligible members in the department in question.

2. Any recommendation of the Impasse Committee shall require a two-thirds majority.

3. The recommendation of this committee on the Department Chairpersonship shall be binding on both the department and the Dean.

M. Approval by the President of the University

If the President of the University approves the candidate selected by the above procedures, that candidate becomes the Department Chairperson of the department.

N. Disapproval by the President of the University

If the President of the University disapproves the candidate selected by the above procedures, he/she shall notify the Department Personnel Committee, the appropriate Dean, and all members of the Impasse Committee (when applicable) of his/her decision and the reason for his/her disapproval within thirty calendar days of receiving the recommendation. The selection process shall start again at step G.

O. Outside the University Search
If the majority of the tenured and tenure-track department members recommend that an outside of the University search be done for the Department Chairperson and if the Dean and University President approve this recommendation, then the Department Personnel Committee shall act as a search committee and undertake this search immediately.

If necessary, the University President in consultation with the appropriate Dean will appoint a department member to fill the temporary vacancy for the period of time the temporary vacancy exists.

Following the outside search and presentation of candidates to the full-time department members, the department shall elect the Department Chairperson from the candidates presented to the department as discussed in step G. 3. and following. Alternatively, if the majority of the tenured and tenure-track department members choose, a second preferential poll may be conducted as in step G. 1. (a) to include as possible choices all those candidates outside the department who had been presented to the department, as well as all department tenured and tenure-track members. Step G. 1. (b) and following shall then be repeated to determine the choice most preferred by the department for the position of Department Chairperson.

III. **Recall of the Department Chairperson**

A. Department Chairpersons may be subject to recall if they do not meet the expectations of the position as described in the Collective Bargaining Agreement and at the beginning of this document. Department Chairpersons shall be subject to recall not sooner than six months after taking office. Due process shall be accorded all department members, throughout the recall process. The Department Personnel Committee shall keep the department full-time members informed throughout the process.

B. **Initiation of Recall Procedures by the Department**

1. (a) Following concerns expressed by some department members, the Department Personnel Committee shall determine whether there is substantial disapproval of the Chairperson among the full-time members in the department. If the Department Personnel Committee determines that there is substantial disapproval, it shall discuss with the Department Chairperson the nature of this disapproval. Anonymity of department members' opinions shall be maintained.

   (b) If the Department Personnel Committee accepts the Department Chairperson's response, the process is discontinued and the department members are to be expeditiously notified.

   (c) If the Department Personnel Committee does not accept the Department Chairperson's response, it must, within two (2) weeks after completion of

---

6 This time limit will, however, be held in abeyance during the summer until the academic
the process in step "a", provide the Department Chairperson and all full-time members of the department a written statement, signed by the members of the Department Personnel Committee, of the complaints against the Department Chairperson. In response to this statement, the Department Chairperson shall have the right to call a department meeting and/or circulate to all department members a written rebuttal.

The written response and/or the meeting shall transpire within two (2) weeks\(^6\) of receipt of the written complaint.

(d) The Department Personnel Committee shall call for a departmental vote of confidence for the Department Chairperson by secret written ballot. The vote shall take place within two (2) weeks\(^6\) of the completion of the process in step c.

2. In the event that a 2/3 majority of the tenured and tenure-track department members (with the exception of the Department Chairperson) vote for the recall of their Department Chairperson, the Department Personnel Committee shall present a petition for recall to the appropriate Dean.

3. The Dean may then elect to seek further sources of evaluation and recommendations, e.g., individual department members, students, administration members, and others outside of the department or University. Where Divisions exist, the Division Directors shall submit an evaluation and recommendation to the appropriate Dean.

4. (a) If the Dean concurs that the expressed dissatisfaction with the Department Chairperson necessitates his/her recall the Dean and the Department Personnel Committee shall discuss and agree upon a recommended date of termination of office,\(^5\) and forward a recommendation for termination to the President of the University.

(b) If the President of the University approves the recall, he/she shall notify the Department Chairperson, Department Personnel Committee and appropriate Dean immediately in writing of this decision and the effective date of termination of office.

(c) The procedures for selecting a new Department Chairperson are set forth in section II above.

(d) If the President of the University disapproves the recall, he/she shall notify the Department Chairperson, Department Personnel Committee and appropriate Dean of this decision and the reasons for his/her disapproval.

---

year commences with the Fall semester.
5. (a) In the event the Department Personnel Committee and the Dean cannot agree on the necessity for recall and/or the date of termination of office and further discussion between them appears counterproductive, impasse procedures as set forth in section II.L above shall go into effect.

(b) If, after impasse procedures, the decision is for recall of the Department Chairperson and the President of the University approves the recall, he/she shall notify the Department Chairperson, Impasse Committee, Department Personnel Committee and the Dean immediately in writing of this decision and the effective date of termination of office.

(c) The procedures for selecting a new Department Chairperson are set forth in section II above.

(d) If the President of the University disapproves a recall decision resulting from the impasse procedures, he/she shall notify the Department Chairperson, Department Personnel Committee, appropriate Dean and all members of the Impasse Committee of this decision and the reasons for his/her disapproval.

C. Initiation of Recall Procedures by the Appropriate Dean

1. If the performance of a Department Chairperson meets with the disapproval of the Dean, who is considering recall of the Department Chairperson, the Dean shall discuss with the Department Chairperson the nature of the disapproval. In the event the Department Chairperson does not answer this disapproval to the satisfaction of the Dean, the Dean must provide to the Department Chairperson and the Department Personnel Committee, in writing, his/her reasons for the disapproval. If the Dean intends to recommend recall of the Department Chairperson, the Dean shall first discuss this recommendation, its reasons, and his/her recommendation for a date of termination of office with the Department Personnel Committee.5

2. The Department Personnel Committee and/or the Dean shall present the recommendations of the Dean and the reasons to all full-time members of the department and call for a vote of confidence by secret written ballot for the Department Chairperson (with the exception of the Department Chairperson and faculty on special appointment). Prior to the vote of confidence the Department Chairperson shall have the right to call a department meeting to present his/her position. The Department Chairperson must notify the Department Personnel Committee, in writing, of his/her intention to hold such a meeting.

3. (a) If a 2/3 majority of the tenured and tenure-track members of the department (with the exception of the Department Chairperson) vote for recall of the Department Chairperson, the request for recall shall be presented by the Department Personnel Committee to the Dean, and by the Dean to the President of the University.
(b) If the President of the University approves the recall, he/she shall notify the Department Chairperson, Department Personnel Committee and Dean immediately, in writing, of this decision, the reasons, and the effective date of termination of office.

(c) The procedures for selecting a new Department Chairperson are discussed in section II above.

(d) If the President of the University disapproves the recall, he/she shall notify the Department Chair, Department Personnel Committee and Dean of this decision and the reasons for his/her disapproval.

4. (a) In the event that a 2/3 majority of tenured and tenured-track members of the department do not vote for recall of their Department Chairperson, and further discussion between the Department Personnel Committee and the Dean appears counterproductive, impasse procedures as specified in section II.L. shall go into effect.

(b) The President of the University may accept the recommendations of the Impasse Committee. If, however, the President, contrary to the advice of the Impasse Committee, deems it advisable to remove a Department Chairperson from office, he/she may do so and request the department to initiate step II.G. and subsequent sections of this policy.

IV. Interpretation and Implementation

This section may not be invoked with respect to the interpretation and/or implementation of any item of the Collective Bargaining Agreement. If an issue develops whether initiated by the Faculty Senate, a faculty member, or any member of the administration, concerning the interpretation or implementation of this document, a binding decision on such an issue shall be made:

A. by agreement between a majority of the Executive Committee of the Faculty Senate, and if necessary the University President, or, failing to obtain agreement on an issue by this method:

B. by a committee consisting of one member selected by the Faculty Senate Executive Committee by secret written ballot, one selected by the University President, and one selected by the first two committee members, who by 2/3 vote shall decide such an issue. All members of this committee shall be tenured or tenured-track members and may be from any department.

V. Amendment Procedure
This document may be amended by a 2/3 vote of the Faculty Senate with the concurrence of the President of the University.

VI. **Grievance Procedure**

Any faculty member who feels that the prescribed procedures and criteria rules have in some way been violated with respect to this Document may initiate grievance procedures as specified in the Collective Bargaining Agreement.
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Summary of Significant Changes to the Department Chairpersonship Document

II. C. Added that only tenured and tenured-track members may participate in the selection process. Tenured and tenure-track faculty that join the department after the preferential vote has occurred and Special appointments are now excluded from participating in the selection process. This protects new faculty that join highly divided departments.

D. 2. Added that the size of the Department Personnel Committee shall be included in the department By-Laws.

D. 4. Added that only tenured and tenured-track members may serve on the Department Personnel Committee. Special appointments are now excluded from serving. Clarified that Department Personnel Committee members must have at least two completed consecutive semesters of full-time service in the department before serving on the Department Personnel Committee. Clarified that full-time service includes special appointments.

D. 8. Referenced D. 1. as the mechanism to use when filling Department Personnel Committee vacancies instead of describing it again.

D. 10. Added that if necessary the University President will also be involved in answering questions about the document since we feel that is not an appropriate use of the University President’s time. Any major issue with language/policy in the document would be addressed in future revisions of the document which the University President would review.

E. 2. Added a procedure for filling a sudden vacancy in the office of chairperson.

G. 1. A. Added that only tenured and tenured-track members may participate in voting for a new chair. Special appointments are now excluded from voting. Clarified that those with less than two semesters of full-time service in the department may also vote. Added that only tenured and tenured-track members may be listed on the preferential vote (and therefore serve as Chair). Special appointments and faculty that join the department after the preferential vote has occurred are now excluded from serving. Added a timeline of no later than February 1st for the preferential vote. Removed the language about a second preferential vote since a second vote is now addressed in G. 3.

G. 1. D. Added a procedure for searches outside of the department but within the university (requires approval by the appropriate Dean) and for searches outside of the university (requires approval by the appropriate Dean and University President). At least half of the department
members must be in favor of an outside the department search for either search to occur. Searches within the university involve a call of self-nomination involving 14 days for the call to go out and 14 days to respond.

G. 2. Added a timeline of 14 days to accept the nomination for chairperson.

G. 3. All tenured and tenure-track faculty (instead of the Department Personnel Committee) now decide their preference for chairperson from the candidates that have accepted the nomination through a second vote and a run-off vote if necessary. This process should make clearer the department’s wishes since some faculty may wish to vote differently than they did during the preferential vote after they know who has accepted the nomination. Some faculty may have been interested in the position before the preferential vote but may decide not accept the nomination after they received little support in the preferential vote and others that may not have been interested in the position may accept the nomination after receiving strong support during the preferential vote. We thought that the preferential vote should be viewed as a way to nominate people for chair and it should not be viewed as the final vote by the department. A time limit of fourteen calendar days has been added between the second vote and the run-off vote.

H. 1. And 2. Deletions here were made because the Dean will receive the results of each vote that occurs and the Department Personnel Committee is no longer selecting the names that will be presented to the Dean.

K. 1-4. Deletions here were made since this language is unnecessary. This section now points to Step G. 1. and starts the process again with a preferential vote when the Dean disapproves of the top choice by the Department.

L. 1. Added language to clarify the selection of the impasse committee members. The University President will now serve as the deciding vote in elections when no candidate receives the majority of the votes by a department.

N. Added a timeline of 30 days for the University President to disapprove the candidate selected by the department and appropriate Dean.

O. This new section now contains the language that used to be in G. 1. D. regarding outside the university searches. Added language that allows the University President to appoint a temporary chair during the outside search.

III. A. Specified the possible reasons for a recall and that the DPC shall keep the department informed throughout the process.
III. B. and C. Added that only tenured and tenured-track members may vote to recall a chair. Special appointments are now excluded from voting. Added that some faculty may express concerns about the Chairperson but the DPC must first determine whether there is substantial disapproval among the entire full-time faculty before approaching the Chairperson with the complaint. “Chairperson’s explanation” was changed to “Chairperson’s response” since the Chairperson may not to give an explanation (perhaps they may admit wrongdoing and offer a solution).

IV. Added that if necessary the University President will also be involved in answering questions about the document since we feel that is not an appropriate use of the University President’s time. Any major issue with language/policy in the document would be addressed in future revisions of the document which the University President would review.